

## City of Culver City

### Staff Report Details (With Text)

File #:	18-01229	Version: 1	Name:	Denial T-Mobile WTF Applic	ation
Туре:	Resolution		Status:	Consent Agenda	
File created:	5/2/2018		In control:	City Council Meeting Agenda	a
On agenda:	5/14/2018		Final action:		
Title:	CC - Adoption of a Resolution, Denying Without Prejudice, T-Mobile's Application for a Proposed Wireless Telecommunications Facility in the Public Right-of-Way West of 10876 Culver Boulevard.				
Sponsors:					
Indexes:					
Code sections:					
Attachments:	1. 2018-05-14_ATT 1_Wireless Application.pdf, 2. 2018-05-14_ATT 2_Notice of Incompleteness.pdf, 3. 2018-05-14_ATT 3_Resubmittal Application.pdf, 4. 2018-05-14_ATT 4_2nd Notice of Incompleteness.pdf, 5. 2018-05-14_ATT 5_Request for Tolling Agreement.pdf, 6. 2018-05-14_ATT 6_Proposed Resolution Denying Application.pdf				
Date	Ver. Action B	у	Act	ion	Result

# CC - Adoption of a Resolution, Denying Without Prejudice, T-Mobile's Application for a Proposed Wireless Telecommunications Facility in the Public Right-of-Way West of 10876 Culver Boulevard.

Meeting Date: May 14, 2018

**Contact Person/Dept:** Sammy Romo/PW; Roland Miranda/City Attorney's Office

Phone Number: 310-253-5619; 310-253-5660

Fiscal Impact: Yes [] No [X] General Fund: Yes [] No [X]

Public Hearing: [] Action Item: [] Attachments: [X]

Commission Action Required: Yes [] No [X] Date:

Public Notification: (E-Mail) Meetings and Agendas - City Council (05/09/18);

**Department Approval:** Charles D. Herbertson, Public Works Director/City Engineer (05/09/18)

#### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the City Council adopt a resolution denying without prejudice a wireless telecommunications facilities permit application filed by T-Mobile West for the installation of a wireless

telecommunications facility, including accessory equipment and new free standing pole in the public right-of-way west of 10876 Culver Boulevard.

#### BACKGROUND

#### Procedural Background

On September 29, 2017 T-Mobile West submitted an application for a permit for a proposed wireless telecommunications facility (Attachment 1) pursuant to Culver City Municipal Code (CCMC) Chapter 11.20. For this type of wireless telecommunications facilities application, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") has established a presumptively reasonable period within which a municipality has to render a decision on the application that is 150 days ("FCC shot clock"), as discussed further below in the *Legal Background* section of this report.

After initial review of the application documents, the City issued a timely Notice of Incompleteness (NOI) on October 28, 2017 (Attachment 2) and T-Mobile West resubmitted the application on January 17, 2018 (Attachment 3). In response, the City issued a second NOI on March 6, 2017 (Attachment 4). The FCC shot clock will expire on or about May 18, 2018, unless it is tolled by a mutual agreement of the City and T-Mobile.

Having received no further response from T-Mobile West, on April 30, 2018 the City sent a letter to T-Mobile West requesting the parties agree to toll the shot clock if T-Mobile West intends to respond to the City's second NOI, and requesting a response from T-Mobile West no later than May 4, 2018 (Attachment 5). As of the writing of this staff report, T-Mobile West has not responded to the City's letter.

In summary, T-Mobile West's application, submitted over seven months ago, remains largely incomplete and contains inconsistent information. In the City's second NOI, the City identified the continued deficiencies and inaccuracies in the application documents. These deficiencies have not been addressed by the applicant, nor has the applicant agreed to toll the FCC shot clock.

#### T-Mobile's Proposed Project

T-Mobile West is proposing to install a wireless telecommunications facility to provide personal wireless services (principally voice services) as well as data services to its wireless customers in the vicinity.

The proposed project would include the installation of a new free standing pole in the public right-ofway, just west of 10876 Culver Boulevard. The new pole would stand 30 feet in height and include a radome measuring three feet in diameter and housing three antennas. The proposed project would also include two ground mounted cabinets and a power pedestal placed above ground approximately 25 feet from the new pole. The power pedestal will be 48 inches in height, 16.25 inches in width and 17 inches in depth. The cabinet referred to as the "boxer cabinet" in the plans will be 22.5 inches in height, 25.5 inches in width and 22.5 inches in depth. The largest proposed cabinet is 57 inches in height, 51.18 inches in width and 27.5 inches in depth.

#### Legal Background

The City regulates telecommunications public utility infrastructure in public right-of-way pursuant to its police power authority. Public Utility Code Section 7901 establishes a statewide franchise which allows telecommunications companies, including wireless companies like T-Mobile West, to install telecommunications infrastructure in the public rights-of-way. However, that franchise is subject to important limitations: 1) Installations must not "incommode" the public use of the public right-of-way (in determining whether a proposed installation will incommode the public's use of the public right-of-way, municipalities may consider aesthetic impacts); and, 2) access to the public right-of-way is subject to the City's exercise of reasonable time, place and manner controls.

Further, Public Utilities Code Section 2902 protects a local government's right "to supervise and regulate the relationship between a public utility and the general public in matters affecting the health, convenience, and safety of the general public, including matters such as the use and repair of public streets by any public utility, the location of the poles, wires, mains, or conduits of any public utility, on, under, or above any public streets...within the limits of the municipal corporation."

Pursuant to CCMC Chapter 11.20, telecommunications companies must submit a telecommunications application and obtain an encroachment permit from the City Council prior to installing any telecommunications infrastructure in the City's rights-or-way. For wireless installations, the City's application process requires submission of information needed in order for City staff to evaluate the application and make a recommendation to City Council.

Further, among other requirements of 47 U.S.C. 332(c)(7), the City must act on wireless telecommunication facilities applications within a "reasonable period of time." The FCC has established certain time frames deemed to be "reasonable period[s] of time" within which municipalities are supposed to make their decisions regarding any wireless telecommunication facilities applications. These time frames are referred to as the FCC shot clocks. Per the FCC, the shot clock for applications seeking to place a new wireless facility (such as the T-Mobile West's proposed project) is 150 days.

Under state law, if municipalities fail to render a decision regarding a wireless application within the applicable shot clock, applicants may rely on that failure to then assert that their application be "deemed granted." Any applicant asserting that their application has been "deemed granted" must first provide written notice to the municipality that the applicant is asserting that their application has been deemed granted because of the expiration of the applicable FCC shot clock. Municipalities may then challenge a "deemed granted" assertion by seeking judicial review within 30 days of receiving such notice.

Finally, federal law does not permit a denial that would result in an effective prohibition of wireless services. Key elements of the effective prohibition standard are whether there is a "significant gap" in personal wireless services and whether the applicant has shown that it has proposed the least intrusive alternative for closing the significant gap identified, after consideration of alternatives.

#### DISCUSSION

The area of Culver Boulevard where the proposed wireless telecommunications facility would be placed has existing residential and commercial developments. Consistent with the City's aesthetic values, the street is lined with carefully chosen landscaping and hardscape. In the vicinity of proposed project, there are existing utility poles and street lights.

The subject location is within the City's Open Space General Plan designation, intended to regulate, protect, and preserve publicly accessible land that is well suited for active recreation, amusement, relaxation, and/or scenic viewing. Any private development within the Open Space designation is subject to a Comprehensive Plan, addressing how the development encourages and promotes a desirable park development, and open space preservation that is compatible with surrounding neighborhoods, and protects the quality of life. In accordance with the City's General Plan Land Use Element, Open Space includes landscape areas within or adjacent to public rights-of-way, streetscape improvements, and desirable urban design features which visually link neighborhoods and businesses throughout the City. This includes parkways, medians, and other land within the public view. The proposed wireless project is immediately adjacent to single family residential properties, neighborhood commercial, as well as a public park. The proposed project detracts and negatively impacts the linkage between the neighborhood commercial, residential, and park space by obstructing the visual continuity between the uses and small scale development in the immediate vicinity.

The area of the proposed project is characterized by low level single or two story buildings with wide parkways on either side of Culver Boulevard and down the middle of the street. The parkways and median are dressed with pine trees and a backdrop of creeping vines, bushes, and ground shrubs. The proposed mono-pole is not compatible with the character of the landscaping, use, and visual aesthetic of the parkways and streetscape.

As proposed in T-Mobile West's application, the proposed project is inconsistent with the aesthetics of the immediate area. As can be seen in the photo simulations (Exhibit H1d of Attachment 3), the new pole, with a large radome, is visually obtrusive and aesthetically unpleasing, as it is unlike any other public right-of-way infrastructure in the area. Based on the application documents, the applicant's attempt to stealth the wireless telecommunications facility by housing the antennas in a radome (which is intended to conceal the panels and cables) is insufficient in part due to the large diameter of the radome, making it more visible than a slimmer radome (such as a radome that is similar in size to the pole diameter). From the application documents it is unclear why it is necessary to install such a large radome.

In addition, the proposed project of large equipment boxes above ground is visually obtrusive and would negatively impact the public right-of-way and the aesthetic quality of Culver Boulevard because it would conflict with the carefully designed right-of-way detailed above. While the applicant proposes to install some landscaping to obscure the boxes, there is no landscaping depicted in the photosimulations. The boxes proposed are large and obtrusive. In addition, the applicant would be required to remove existing landscaping and maintain the new landscaping. The City has requested that the applicant provide detailed information about both removal and maintenance but the applicant has not responded to this request.

Based on all of the concerns detailed herein and based on the limited information provided by the applicant, the proposed project is generally out of character with the surrounding uses and right-of-way infrastructure.

Further, the application documents fail to include, among other information, a noise study and structural integrity calculations that are necessary to fully consider the proposed wireless telecommunications facility's possible impacts on the convenience and safety of the general public.

When the applicant fails to provide the information requested in the wireless telecommunications facilities application, the City cannot readily determine if the proposed project should be granted an encroachment permit. Through the NOIs, the City has identified significant deficiencies with the application documents. Based on the documents that have been provided to the City thus far, the proposed project is not consistent with the City's standards. The City has twice requested the documents needed to make an informed decision, but T-Mobile West has not provided the needed information.

#### Recommended Action

Based on all of the forgoing, City staff recommends the City Council deny T-Mobile's application without prejudice.

In light of the above, the only remaining question is whether approval should nevertheless be recommended because denial would result in an effective prohibition under 47 U.S.C. Section 332(c) (7)(B)(i)(II). City staff does not believe a finding of effective prohibition can be made based on the record. Inconsistences in the application documents are notable. T-Mobile West's application documents do not adequately justify the need for the installation of a new pole and despite the City's multiple requests for detailed information rationalizing the need for the proposed project, T-Mobile has failed to provide the information. For example, at Section III, question 5 of the City's form application, T-Mobile West indicates that there is no "significant gap" in services to be filled by the proposed project. By contrast, Exhibit C2 of the application purports to establish that, in fact, there is a significant gap. Hence it is unclear if the applicant is claiming a significant gap or not.

Further, a key element of effective prohibition standard is whether an applicant has shown that it has proposed the least intrusive alternative for closing the gap identified, after consideration of alternatives. Placement of a new pole for the proposed wireless telecommunication facility is obviously the most intrusive possible alternative because there is other vertical infrastructure potentially available in the public right-of-way on which the proposed wireless facility could potentially be installed. T-Mobile West's application contains an alternative site analysis that identifies private property alternatives and indicates that the landlords were unwilling to lease space for the proposed project. T-Mobile West also alleges that a nearby streetlight is insufficient due to potential interference. However, T-Mobile West fails to explain why the proposed project cannot be located on other existing infrastructure in the public right-of-way. Thus, even assuming there is a significant gap, given that applicant has not shown it considered other possible locations or designs at the proposed site that would be less intrusive, an effective prohibition finding is not warranted.

As this recommendation is for a denial without prejudice to reapplication, there will be a full opportunity to revisit the effective prohibition issue, if necessary, in light of evidence and alternatives the applicant may present on resubmission of this application.

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

There is no fiscal impact to the City.

#### ATTACHMENTS

- 1. First application submittal dated September 29, 2017
- 2. First Notice of Incomplete dated October 28, 2017
- 3. Second application submittal dated January 17, 2018
- 4. Second Notice of Incomplete dated March 6, 2018
- 5. City Letter dated April 30, 2018
- 6. Proposed Resolution of Denial

#### **MOTION**

That the City Council:

Adopt a resolution denying without prejudice the application for a proposed wireless telecommunication facility to be installed in the public right-of-way west of 10876 Culver Boulevard.