

MartinDelCampo, Ruth

From: Dana Parks <imdparks@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 11:19 PM
To: MartinDelCampo, Ruth
Subject: Objection to 11111Jefferson EIR

I have lived in Raintree, on Jefferson Blvd. in Culver City, for over 40 years.

I would like this question answered at the hearing:

How does Culver City intend to ensure its current residents have adequate water supplies during a historic drought when it keeps approving large development projects with NO idea whether there will be rain and an end to the drought?

I ask that Culver City impose a moratorium on ALL development until the state's reservoirs have refilled. This is a crisis, and Culver City "leaders" have zero vision for how to cope with a waterless future.

Dana Parks
5002 Cascade Court
Culver City 90230

MartinDelCampo, Ruth

From: Joanne Laipson <joanne@osdergroup.com>
Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021 4:44 PM
To: MartinDelCampo, Ruth
Subject: 11111 Jefferson Boulevard Mixed- Use Project

Hello Ruth,

For the life of me, I could not figure out how to submit comments online at the site, despite the instructions so submitting here:

With regard to this project at 11111 Jefferson Blvd, I have no issue with the proposed structure. It will create more traffic in an area not prepared for that traffic, but it is what it is. That's happening throughout Culver City.

However, there is NO information on the amount of parking to be made available. From the write-up, it appears there is NO parking available or I'd think it would have been mentioned. Its omission certainly suggests the developer either doesn't want to address it, is hoping it won't be noticed or worse, hasn't even planned for it.

Nothing should be approved until the neighborhood is made aware of how much parking will be made available for these 230 residential units plus all of the retail, office and gym space.

Culver City has done a nice job downtown with regard to planning for parking downtown and that is, in large part, why the downtown project is a success. To put in 230 residential units plus retail and office space and not address the parking issue is unacceptable. Until this detail is communicated to the public and some time allowed for comment, this plan should not be approved.

Joanne Laipson