

THESE MINUTES ARE NOT OFFICIAL
UNTIL APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL,
CULVER CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD,
AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE CULVER CITY
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD

REGULAR MEETING OF THE
CITY COUNCIL, CULVER CITY
HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD,
AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE CULVER CITY
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD
CULVER CITY, CALIFORNIA

January 25, 2021
7:00 p.m.

Call to Order & Roll Call

Mayor Fisch called the regular meeting of the City Council to order at 6:48 p.m. in the Mike Balkman Chambers at City Hall via Virtual Webex.

Present: Alex Fisch, Mayor
Daniel Lee, Vice Mayor
Göran Eriksson, Council Member
Yasmine-Imani McMorrin, Council Member
Albert Vera, Council Member

oOo

Recognition Presentations

Item R-1

CC - Proclamation in Honor of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Celebration Day 2021

Kay Heineman thanked the City for the Proclamation in honor of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Celebration Day 2021; she described events to mark the occasion; and the job of the City to point the path forward.

Jeremy Green, City Clerk, presented the Proclamation in Honor of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Celebration Day 2021.

Mayor Fisch thanked Ms. Heineman for her comments.

Vice Mayor Lee noted the importance of reflecting upon Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. and what he fought for, particularly in light of the attack on the Capitol; and he observed that many issues that Dr. King fought are still active.

o0o

Recess

Mayor Fisch called a brief recess from 6:54 p.m. to 7:01 p.m.

o0o

Regular Session

Mayor Fisch reconvened the regular meeting of the City Council and convened the Culver City Housing Authority, and Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency Board at 7:01 p.m.

o0o

Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Fisch led the Pledge of Allegiance.

o0o

Report on Closed Session

Mayor Fisch noted that there had been no Closed Session and therefore there was nothing to report.

o0o

Community Announcements by City Council Members/Information Items from Staff

Council Member Eriksson discussed the National League of Cities Unmanned Air Mobility Advisory Forum; work with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to develop procedures for unmanned drones; and he welcomed comments on the issue.

Council Member McMorris announced that January is Stalking Awareness month; discussed the importance of raising awareness; and ways to reduce the risk of harm.

Council Member Vera discussed the need for systemic change to address racism and anti-racism; empowering teens; creating

change from the top; he suggested inviting Doyin Richards to make a presentation to the Equity and Human Relations Advisory Committee (EHRAC); and he indicated that additional information was available at doyinrichards.com.

Mayor Fisch noted that Item C-6 is consideration of approval of the EHRAC Work Plan.

Vice Mayor Lee discussed the vaccine trial; the need for continued mask wearing; calls from the governor to reopen things more broadly; concern with people communicating normalcy; the importance of hand washing, mask wearing and physical distancing; the length of time until herd immunity is achieved; and he implored people to continue to be safe.

Mayor Fisch discussed the upgrade to playground equipment at Tellefson Park; updates throughout the City; and he reported being elected to the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Regional Council.

Rolando Cruz, Chief Transportation Officer, announced recognition of the Transit Department as California Small Operator Transit Agency of the Year for 2020 by the California Transit Association.

Mayor Fisch congratulated staff for their efforts and for the well-deserved recognition.

Jeremy Green, City Clerk, asked that the meeting be paused for a moment to address technical issues.

o0o

Recess/Reconvene

Mayor Fisch called a brief recess from 7:13 p.m. to 7:15 p.m. to allow staff to address technical issues.

o0o

Item I-1

CC - COVID-19 Update

Ken Powell, Fire Chief and Current Emergency Operations Center Director, provided an update on efforts to address challenges and issues related to COVID-19; discussed the focus on

vaccinations; additional information available at vacinatelacounty.com or the COVID-19 page on the Culver City website; the lifting of stay at home orders by the state; the number of ICU beds currently available; the county by county colored tiered system; the new order allowing outdoor dining to return on January 29, 2021; permitted activities as of January 25, 2021; he reported that new public orders would be issued by the City to remain in alignment with the county; and he urged everyone to continue to protect themselves and those around them.

o0o

Item P-1

CC - A Presentation to the City Council from Public Bank Los Angeles & California Public Banking Alliance

David Jette, Public Bank LA and The California Public Banking Alliance, provided background on the organizations and an overview of public banking; discussed recent legislation; visible and popular public banks; funding crucial public purposes; local investment; public welfare metrics; accountability and transparency laws; the Bank of North Dakota; wholesale vs. retail banks; resiliency; reasons to found a public bank; providing additional financial infrastructure to reduce dependence on Wall Street; dispersing public funds equitably; overcharging of municipalities; debt service; interest; credit rates; municipal bonds; public debt and investments; fee preferences and pricing of private entities; risks; borrowing costs; state chartered and state regulated banks; insurance; building trust; moving quickly and responsibly to meet needs; phasing in options; public input; costs; partnerships; leveraging relationships to achieve goals; lending and intake of deposit services; regulatory costs; diversification; secondary portfolios; leverage to absorb changes; distribution of risks; establishing a regional bank that serves all people with different types of business investment; ensuring investments make the biggest impacts; the review and approval process; fees and interest; the feeling that public banks should include consumer services; cannabis; and the hope that Culver City would lead in the development of a regional public bank.

Discussion ensued between Mr. Jette, staff and Council Members regarding the status of partnerships; work to advance a Request for Proposals (RFP) to hire a consultant for an exploration

process; the need to partner with other agencies; the potential to serve the community, save fees, and get a good return on investments; the need for additional discussion; and communication between the Chief Finance Officer and Public Bank LA and the California Public Banking Alliance.

o0o

Joint Public Comment - Items NOT on the Agenda

Mayor Fisch invited public comment.

The following members of the public addressed the City Council:

Shelly Rosekelly discussed events at the Capitol on January 6; the Inauguration; the resolution by the City of Glendale; she requested that Culver City acknowledge its history as a "Sundown Town" and commit to infusing equity in all City business; discussed the sample resolution submitted by John Kent; moving forward to a just and more equitable future; and she asked that the City Council agendize a discussion of the item.

Donna Kent echoed comments made by Ms. Rosekelly and noted that the item was being considered by the EHRAC.

Ben Diamond discussed the pandemic; expressed disappointment with a recent encounter with a CCPD officer who indicated that they had no ability to require people to wear masks; and he agreed to provide his contact information to the City Clerk and to Council Members.

o0o

Receipt and Filing of Correspondence

Mayor Fisch reported that 127 pages of emailed correspondence had been received.

MOVED BY VICE MAYOR LEE, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER VERA AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL RECEIVE AND FILE CORRESPONDENCE.

o0o

Order of the Agenda

Items C-6 and C-7 were considered separately at the end of the Consent Calendar.

o0o

Consent Calendar

Vice Mayor Lee asked to pull Item C-6, and Council Member Vera asked to pull Item C-7 for separate consideration.

MOVED BY COUNCIL MEMBER ERIKSSON, SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR LEE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS C-1 THROUGH C-5, AND C-8.

Item C-1

CC:HA:SA - (1) Approval of Cash Disbursements for January 2, 2021 to January 15, 2021

THAT THE CITY COUNCIL, HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD, AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD: APPROVE CASH DISBURSEMENTS FOR JANUARY 2, 2021 TO JANUARY 15, 2021.

o0o

Item C-2

CC:HA:SA:FA - Approval of Minutes for the Regular Consolidated City Council Meeting on January 11, 2021

THAT THE CITY COUNCIL, HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD, REDEVELOPMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD: APPROVE MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR CONSOLIDATED CITY COUNCIL MEETING ON JANUARY 11, 2021.

o0o

Item C-3

CC - Receipt and Filing of the Annual FY 2019/2020 Measure CW Monitoring Report

THAT THE CITY COUNCIL: RECEIVE AND FILE THE MEASURE CW MONITORING REPORT.

o0o

CC - (1) Approval of Third Amendment to Existing Professional Services Agreement with California Watershed Engineering for the Mesmer Low-Flow Stormwater Diversion Project to include (A) Documentation and Reporting for the Safe Clean Water Program Grant Funding Award in an Amount Not-to-Exceed \$33,918; and (B) Documentation and Reporting for the Proposition 1 Grant Award by the Department of Water Resources for the Greater Los Angeles County Integrated Regional Water Management Region in an Amount Not-to-Exceed \$4,352; and (2) Approval of Second Amendment with California Watershed Engineering for the Washington Boulevard Stormwater Project to include Documentation and Reporting for the Safe Clean Water Program Grant Funding Award in an Amount Not-to-Exceed \$45,970

THAT THE CITY COUNCIL:

1. APPROVE A THIRD AMENDMENT TO EXISTING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH CALIFORNIA WATERSHED ENGINEERING (CWE) FOR THE MESMER LOW FLOW STORMWATER DIVERSION PROJECT PR 005 TO INCLUDE:

A. DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING FOR THE SAFE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM GRANT FUNDING AWARD IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$33,918 FOR THE DURATION OF THE GRANT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS; AND

B. DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING FOR THE PROPOSITION 1 GRANT AWARD BY THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO THE GREATER LOS ANGELES COUNTY INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT REGION IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$4,352; AND

2. APPROVE A SECOND AMENDMENT TO EXISTING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH CALIFORNIA WATERSHED ENGINEERING (CWE) FOR THE WASHINGTON BOULEVARD STORMWATER DIVERSION PROJECT TO INCLUDE DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING FOR THE SAFE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM GRANT FUNDING AWARD IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$45,970 FOR THE DURATION OF THE GRANT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS; AND

3. AUTHORIZE THE CITY ATTORNEY TO REVIEW/PREPARE THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS; AND

4. AUTHORIZE THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE SUCH DOCUMENTS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY.

o0o

CC - Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Esri in an Amount Not-to-Exceed \$120,000 to Support the City's Geographical Information Systems (GIS) Program

THAT THE CITY COUNCIL:

1. APPROVE AN ENTERPRISE SOFTWARE LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH ESRI FOR A TERM OF THREE (3) YEARS FOR AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED \$120,000 WHICH SHALL BE PAID IN THREE (3) EQUAL ANNUAL PAYMENTS BEGINNING FEBRUARY 2021;
2. AUTHORIZE THE CITY ATTORNEY TO REVIEW/PREPARE THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS; AND,
3. AUTHORIZE THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE SUCH DOCUMENTS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY.

o0o

Item C-8
(Out of Sequence)

CC - (1) Fifth Review of the Need for Continuing the Local Emergency Declared on March 14, 2020 by the City Manager, as Director of Emergency Services, under City of Culver City Emergency Authority, Due to the Coronavirus Respiratory Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic, in Accordance with the Requirements of Government Code Section 8630; and (2) Direction to City Manager as Deemed Appropriate

THAT THE CITY COUNCIL:

1. PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 8630, CONDUCT A FIFTH REVIEW OF THE NEED FOR CONTINUING THE LOCAL EMERGENCY DECLARED ON MARCH 14, 2020 BY THE CITY MANAGER, AS DIRECTOR OF EMERGENCY SERVICES, UNDER CITY OF CULVER CITY EMERGENCY AUTHORITY, DUE TO THE CORONAVIRUS RESPIRATORY DISEASE (COVID-19) PANDEMIC; AND,
2. PROVIDE DIRECTION TO CITY MANAGER AS DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

o0o

Item C-6
(Out of Sequence)

CC - Approval of and (if Desired) Discussion and Direction Related to the Equity and Human Relations Advisory Committee's (EHRAC) Proposed Work Plan

Vice Mayor Lee discussed retaining certain elements of the virtual meetings when in-person meetings are resumed; facilitating the participation of the elderly, people with disabilities, or those who have difficulty attending in person; costs; and retaining and encouraging increased participation.

Mayor Fisch expressed support for retaining the benefits of virtual meetings.

THAT THE CITY COUNCIL: APPROVE THE EHRAC WORK PLAN.

o0o

Item C-7

CC - Approval of an Application for \$331,900.00 in Grant Funds from the California Office of Traffic Safety, Selective Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP) through Fiscal Year 2022

Council Member Vera requested clarification regarding the effectiveness of overtime for DUI enforcement; the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan; funding; bike safety; pedestrian safety; costs; and grant funding.

THAT THE CITY COUNCIL:

1. APPROVE AN APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$331,900.00 THROUGH THE FY 2022 CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TRAFFIC SAFETY, SELECTIVE TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM (STEP); AND,
2. AUTHORIZE THE CITY ATTORNEY TO REVIEW/PREPARE THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS; AND,
3. AUTHORIZE THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE SUCH DOCUMENTS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY.

MOVED BY VICE MAYOR LEE, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER VERA AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE ITEMS C-6 AND C-7.

o0o

Public Hearing Items

Item PH-1

CC - Introduction of an Ordinance Amending Mixed-Use Development Standards, Including Regulations to Require a Mandatory Percentage of Affordable Housing as Part of New Mixed-Use Development Projects

MOVED BY VICE MAYOR LEE, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCMORRIN AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL RECEIVE AND FILE THE AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING AND POSTING OF PUBLIC NOTICE.

Sol Blumenfeld, Community Development Director, introduced the item; discussed the intent of the proposed mixed-use ordinance text amendments; new provisions; community benefits; density bonus incentives; examples of layered local and state density bonuses; new use provisions; location and unit mix of community benefit affordable units; micro-unit density bonus incentives; new development standards; additional density and parking reductions at major transit stops or within a Transit Priority Area (TPA); deletion of the Community Benefits Calculation; the Affordable Housing Plan and Agreement; the First Time Homebuyer Requirement for Owner-Occupied Units; in lieu affordable housing payments; off-site units; land donations; regulations; and administrative fees.

Michael Allen, Current Planning Manager, reviewed Planning Commission recommendations; discussed project vesting; shared parking; rehabilitation of properties; projects in the pipeline; and vesting mechanisms.

Sol Blumenfeld, Community Development Director, discussed which projects would be subject to the requirements of the ordinance; the issue of projects in the pipeline; the 15% mandatory affordability requirement; increasing affordability without overly burdening housing production; and the nexus study prepared by Keyser Marston.

Discussion ensued between staff and Council Members regarding the possibility of an interim ordinance and allowing developers to choose; reliance on existing code provisions; developers that might want to take advantage of the new ordinance vs. a developer trying to take advantage of the old rules; providing flexibility for developers; allowing latitude by keeping the existing code

provision; the number of affected projects; affordable projects; density bonuses; units per acre; the parking studies; and the goal to reduce the parking footprint.

MOVED BY VICE MAYOR LEE, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCMORRIN AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.

Mayor Fisch invited public comment.

The following members of the public addressed the City Council:

Michelle Weiner congratulated the City Council for their efforts to incentivize affordable housing in the City; she asked that the requirement to get the density bonus be raised to 20%; and she expressed hope that the City Council would request a program to develop incentives and requirements for 100% affordable housing developments in the future.

Patricia Bijvoet echoed comments from the previous speaker and asked for clarification regarding affordability requirements.

Sol Blumenfeld, Community Development Director, discussed very low income units and asked that Kathy Head from Keyser Marston review affordability requirements.

Mayor Fisch asked that the explanation of affordability requirements be held until the end of public comment.

Bryan Sanders discussed 10858 Culver Boulevard; the history of the proposed zoning amendment; and he questioned why zoning codes were being proposed in the abstract.

Tara Barauskas, Community Corp of Santa Monica, provided background on the organization; indicated having a project in development in Culver City; expressed support for adopting the proposed ordinance to stimulate the production of affordable housing in Culver City, especially the No Net Loss provision to discourage displacement and gentrification as well as the concept of Like for Like units; she discussed workforce housing; suggested categorizing units from 80% to 120% Average Median Income (AMI) to moderate income housing; supported incentives to provide moderate income housing in mixed-use projects and City incentives for lower income housing; and she wanted to see the City adopt an inclusionary housing program for 100% residential projects.

Vincent Hartung questioned the definition of open space and the intention for the space being considered.

Sol Blumenfeld, Community Development Director, discussed the zoning code definition of open space and the nature of the open space being referred to in the text amendment.

Diana Coronado, Building Industry Association, provided background on the organization; expressed opposition to the proposed ordinance unless amended to address their comments and concerns; wanted to see the policy be voluntary and incentive-based; requested an annual review of the policy with a review of the impacts on housing; proposed a phase-in rather than 30-day implementation; discussed grandfathering; financial feasibility; exemption of for sale housing; she thanked staff for their kind response to their late letter; and expressed willingness to work with staff.

Nancy Barba, Culver City for More Homes, provided background on the organization; noted that she was speaking on behalf of herself though she serves on the Planning Commission; she expressed strong support for adoption of the proposed ordinance to stimulate the production of affordable housing in the City; discussed incentivizing market rate developers to provide moderate income housing; increasing ways to make affordable units required; exploring ways to encourage affordable housing; the target market; eroding the already diminished diversity of the citizenry; and she asked for a comprehensive inclusionary housing program for all residential projects moving forward.

Jeff Schwartz expressed appreciation for the proposed changes; asked that thresholds be increased above the state minimum to address the profound need for affordable housing as many companies want to locate in Culver City; felt the proposal rewarded those who build affordable rate housing but did not deter the construction of market rate units; and he called for bold action with a minimum percentage required in all new mixed-use and multi-unit development in addition to density bonuses for those who meet a higher threshold.

Bitania Beniam spoke in support of the item on behalf of Samantha Shanman who could not attend; stated that the item was a step in the right direction but did not go far enough; discussed over-building; affordability; concern with the support for developers rather than new residents; urged the City Council on behalf of POC for Change to reconsider the amount of affordable housing offered; discussed hard working families and neighbors

being priced out of the City; and wanted to see precedent set for putting community members first.

Mark Lipman echoed comments from the previous two speakers; noted giveaways for the developers contained in the ordinance; discussed other jurisdictions with higher thresholds; questioned why the bar was being set so low; discussed income levels for moderate and workforce housing; loopholes; in lieu fees; and he felt that the City should stop giving everything to the developers and stop making excuses for not having housing for the poor.

Bubba Fish, Bike Culver City, discussed the housing deficit in Culver City; work put into the item; support for the ordinance; increasing the threshold for affordable housing units in order to get the bonus; jobs coming to the City; root causes of the extreme unaffordability in the City; instituting parking maximums instead of minimums; and he wanted to see benefits and bonuses for building more housing and less parking.

Ron Bassilian was called to speak but did not respond.

Sam Juster indicated that he intended to speak on a different item.

MOVED BY VICE MAYOR LEE, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCMORRIN AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.

Discussion ensued between staff and Council Members regarding open space; mature trees; increasing open space requirements as a bonus to the community; community benefit; the focus on affordable housing; impacts to the community; concern with creating too many requirements that push rents higher; the urgent need to move forward; housing as a human right; whether people would not want to build housing in the City if minimums are required; maximum density for microunits; ensuring a marginal effect on the building footprint; and the nexus study evaluating the cost for new construction vs. the cost to build affordable units.

Kathy Head, Keyser Marston, discussed the policy objective; leveraging funding to fill the gap for the missing middle; workforce housing; the need to focus on a specific policy objective; the percentages; the feasibility study for inclusionary housing; the 15% low income requirement for

apartments and moderate income for ownership; AB1505; and retaining the numbers from the analysis.

Discussion ensued between Kathy Head, staff and Council Members regarding minimum requirements in order to build vs. building with density bonuses; the 35 unit per acre density; taking advantage of density bonuses to ensure that projects are financially successful; layering state density bonuses; the practice of developers to make use of the very low income bonuses; the objective to provide affordable housing; the Comprehensive Parking Ordinance Amendment to promote a reduced parking footprint; support for providing community benefit in addition to affordable housing; adding conditions to increase ground level open space and parkettes, landscape medians or streetscape implementation; consideration of setting inclusionary requirements at 20% as other cities in the region have done; the Transit Oriented Communities program; the choice made by the previous Council to look at the community benefit of mixed-use development as affordable housing only; removing options for developers to do other things instead of providing affordable housing; inclusionary zoning for all residential developments moving forward; focusing on goals; strategic planning with the new City Council; clarification that the mixed-use ordinance is not an attempt to make the project on Culver Boulevard larger; workforce housing; AMI; variations in the workforce and what they earn; the definition of workforce housing; micro-units; taking an opportunity to develop more units; distinguishing workforce housing from moderate income units by defining them at 129% of county median; depth of affordability; spreading affordable units equally throughout the project; clarification that 15% of total units in the project must be affordable; parking maximums; requiring affordability within workforce housing; and dispersing affordable housing across different housing types.

Mayor Fisch stated that his goal was to house as many people as possible with the deepest affordability available; asserted that he was not a shill for developers; he did not believe that legalizing housing was a giveaway; he indicated that he lived in market-rate housing; and he reported that he was deeply involved in bringing a Public Housing Construction Authority to the state of California.

Discussion ensued between Council Members regarding housing as public infrastructure; the experience of cities that established a 20% threshold; developers that do not choose to take the density bonus because of the 20% requirement; shutting down

development; finding a pathway to affordable housing; inclusionary zoning; economic integration; subsidized housing; the open space designation; concern with non-public use of public land; the Wende Cultural Center; homelessness; public land for cultural use; matching value elsewhere in public land; the open space provision; one to one replacement with a 100% affordable housing exception; findings required under the ordinance; replacing and enhancing the community garden; clarification that the program is voluntary; the nexus study; commercial vs. residential; and benefits that mitigate project impacts.

Additional discussion ensued between staff and Council Members regarding the clear direction from the City Council regarding affordability; turning public amenities into standard conditions; streetscape improvements; landscape medians; evaluating burdens placed on projects; the General Plan discussion; ensuring that specific requirements for developments align with stated goals for the City for the next 15-20 years; mobility; the General Plan process; City Council consensus that agreed upon public amenities be included in the standard conditions; vesting; changes that could affect exemptions; the number of affected projects; the feeling that most projects already underway would not be substantially affected; agreement to stay with the staff recommendation; specific requirements in the draft ordinance for utilizing open space; ensuring not to displace park space; replacing open space on site; creative solutions; the one year review; and the lack of consensus on the City Council to advocate for more than 15%.

MOVED BY COUNCIL MEMBER VERA, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCMORRIN AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL: INTRODUCE AN ORDINANCE APPROVING ZONING CODE AMENDMENT P2020-0238-ZCA, AMENDING CULVER CITY MUNICIPAL CODE (CCMC), TITLE 17 - ZONING (ZONING CODE) SECTION 17.400.065 - MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS TO REQUIRE A MANDATORY PERCENTAGE OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING AS PART OF NEW MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENTS WITH THE ADDITION OF A ONE TO ONE REPLACEMENT WITH THE EXEMPTION FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND THE ANNUAL REVIEW.

oOo

Action Items

Item A-1

CC - (1) Update from the City Manager's Office Related to the Public Safety Review; (2) Discussion of Findings and Recommendations from Public Safety Review Consultants; and (3) Direction to the City Manager as Deemed Appropriate

Serena Wright-Black, Assistant City Manager, provided a summary of the material of record.

Council Member Vera indicated that his independent, adult daughter is employed by the Culver City Police Department (CCPD) and that he had previously disclosed that information at the January 11, 2021 City Council meeting; he reiterated the facts prior to consideration of police services in the City in the interest of full transparency and to address any concerns regarding conflict of interest; he indicated discussing the matter with the City Attorney and experienced outside counsel who evaluated the matter; he asserted that he has not and would not discuss matters with his daughter; discussed his objective service as a City Council Member; and he asserted that he did not believe himself to be legally prevented from participating in the discussion.

Council Member Eriksson suggested considering Policy 300 separately.

Mayor Fisch invited public comment.

The following members of the public addressed the City Council:

Frances Rosenau discussed the City Council meeting of June 15, 2020; the overwhelming groundswell to see what more was possible to reimagine public safety to be an example to the region and come up with new approaches to problems; sustained enthusiasm for change; she expressed support for the recommendations from Solidarity Consulting; discussed Alternatives To Incarceration (ATI); Care First, Jails Last; support for using partnerships like Crisis Assistance Helping Out on the Streets (CAHOOTS); being a pilot program using funds for Measure J; and she asserted that the community had spoken and encouraged the City to move forward together.

Jennifer Stavros was called to speak but did not respond.

Neil Glickman asserted that the Solidarity Consulting report was in direct conflict with the previously submitted Center for Public Safety Management (CPSM) study presented to the last City Council; discussed the author of the study; politically driven conclusions rather than use of local data; the Chief's Citizens Panel; non-enforcement of traffic laws; accessibility to grants; direct violation of the police officers' Oath of Office; and potential negative affects with the proposed funding cuts.

Mayor Fisch asked anyone citing bias to indicate what bias is being referred to for clarity.

Rosi Gabl felt that residents should be entitled to the same protection as government officials; she asserted that many people had moved to Culver City for safety reasons; and she expressed support for providing additional funding for training and resources.

Freddy Puza expressed appreciation to the City for their efforts and support for the recommendations from Solidarity Consultants; discussed the direct response to City Council concerns put forth last summer; the focus on equity and social justice; reparations to address harm; taking the opportunity to do something meaningful and just, while taking all people into account; the ATI program being used by the county; implementation in partnership with the county; he proposed incorporating the changes into all levels of government; discussed accountability; the need for buy-in and enforcement; establishment of a separate Civilian Oversight Committee; providing public forums, independent from CCPD; the need for a labor force cost analysis; ensuring there are no new hires during the process; employee transition; job opportunities; transparency; and release of dispatch data for a more thorough analysis.

Candace Pilgrim asked that the City allow businesses and residents to recover from the pandemic before making any changes to CCPD that would lessen response; she provided background on herself; discussed moving to Culver City because it felt safe; interactions with CCPD; efforts to keep businesses open during the pandemic; and she asserted that no one should lose their life over a petty misdemeanor.

Max Gabl asserted that police officers enforced the law for everyone; discussed protection afforded to politicians vs. that for local citizens; and he proposed investing in making specific changes instead.

Darrel Menthe observed the two very different reports before the City Council; urged everyone to read the Solidarity report; he discussed systemic racism; the moral obligation to make changes; putting the two reports together; work involved with translating the recommendations into actual policy changes; the City budget; the complexity of Public Safety; monitoring effectiveness of the changes; concern with viewing equity primarily as a budget issue; developing new approaches to Public Safety; and the potential for increased costs to make the necessary changes for a better city.

Sorai Estrada, Culver City Police Management Group, provided background on himself; discussed CCPD's commitment to fairness; cited specific problematic recommendations made by Solidarity Consultants that he felt were impractical, unsafe and unrealistic; felt that changes should be made thoughtfully and after consideration of reasonably known consequences; cited warnings in a recent letter by District Attorney George Gascon regarding reductions in police response to low-level crimes; interventions to change behavior; concerns with the removal of police from Traffic Enforcement; he referenced the recent assault on the Capitol; problems associated with understaffed police departments responding to large events; the events in Santa Monica during protests last year; participation of the Police Management Group in efforts to make meaningful change and eliminate racism and injustice; and he asked that additional opinions be solicited with more study to arrive at the best course of action.

Colin Diaz, Culver City Chamber of Commerce, felt that the approach, solutions and decisions should be focused on the current situation in Culver City, not on a national scale; stated that stopping arrests for misdemeanors would lead to increased crime and vandalism resulting in increased harm and insurance rates; he referenced the CPSM study; discussed CCPD staffing levels; concern with decreased response time and equity; acknowledging large efforts in CCPD; he wanted to see information on the effects of the proposed changes; and he questioned the viability of an effective department in terms of safety with defunding by 50%.

Stephanie Osorio provided background on herself; expressed support for the recommendations proposed in the Solidarity Consultants report and by the Culver City Action Network (CCAN); discussed the murder of George Floyd; the need to affirm that Black Lives Matter; negative reactions from some neighbors; a letter she received in support of her signage; and she was

looking forward to living in a City that leads by implementation of progressive efforts.

Keith Jones provided background on himself; discussed his participation in special meetings about Public Safety; the conclusions of other cities across the country; the CPSM report vs. the Solidarity Consultants report; costs; concern with broad unfounded accusations against CCPD; concern with removing police from responding to calls; a recent incident diffused by CCPD; changing laws rather than stopping enforcement; citations for traffic violations; and enforcement as an incentive to obey laws.

Patrick Dolan was called to speak but did not respond.

Meghan Sahli-Wells thanked everyone for their efforts on the item; discussed additional information and context regarding white supremacy; the need for implementation to put recommendations into practice; the report that listened to all voices and was tailored to the City; meaningful conclusions reached for the City and for the country; the intent to make the City feel more safe; and she asserted that non-criminal activity should not be criminalized.

Angela Chung was called to speak but did not respond.

Robert Greenspan was called to speak but did not respond.

Nathan Bartley expressed support for changes proposed by CCAN and for those proposed in the Solidarity Consultants report; expressed support for establishing a Civilian Oversight Commission, freezing the size of CCPD, taking advantage of Measure J funding, use of ATI infrastructure, and CAHOOTS; he saw the opportunity to unburden CCPD; and he expressed support for outsourcing parking enforcement as is done in other cities.

Sebastian Hernandez, CCAN, expressed support for the recommendations made by Solidarity Consultants; discussed the Public Safety survey conducted by the City; concern with too much burden being placed on CCPD; the need to divert responsibilities and resources over time; reforming the budget to reflect new priorities; the need to stop the growth of CCPD; the general practice of periodic reassessment of priorities in the public and private sectors; over-investment in an institution of over-use and over-abuse; acknowledgement that the police are not the solution to everything; building up the

wrong institution; keeping kids out of jail; and adoption of good programs to move toward a more just and safe world.

Jason David was called to speak but did not respond.

Noah Zatz discussed officer attrition; locking in staffing levels with no new layoffs; halting the hiring of any new sworn officers; case-by-case authorization by the City Council in an open meeting; unintended consequences of attrition; transference of Public Safety response; impacts of maintaining the status quo; exacerbation of racial inequity by the current level of policing; reduction of the current CCPD workload without reallocation; the Saturation Index; assignment of twice as many officers as is typical to calls; and he asked for an immediate hiring freeze including the call for new officer applications that opened just prior to the current meeting.

Eleanor Herscher was called to speak, but was not in the meeting.

Bill Weisberg was called to speak, but was not in the meeting.

Kelli Estes expressed support for CCPD; observed that the same speakers were addressing the City Council late into the evening; stated that two of the three recently elected Council Members had been endorsed by the Police Union indicating that residents did not want defunding or reduction of CCPD; discussed increased crime during the pandemic; laws that foster discrimination; the costs and impacts of transferring functions to other departments; she felt the new City Council should stop divisive actions; and she asked that the City Council not commit to no new hires or further cuts.

Ryan Thompson, Culver City Police Officers' Association (CCPOA), provided background on the organization; expressed frustration with Mr. Sarabia's Race Equity Report; discussed attempts to move forward and embrace change; concern with items not included in the report; creation of a false narrative and insinuations that members of CCPOA were connected with white supremacy, right wing extremism and slave patrols; concern with insult and dishonor to the organization; arrests of non-violent protestors last summer; assistance to neighboring cities; concern with increasing crime levels within the City; the CPSM study; and personal feelings about law enforcement vs. objective facts.

Kelly Kent reported that she and her family had been personally attacked by CCPOA; discussed reallocation of funds to better serve youth in the community; removal of police from calls

involving crisis intervention or emergency medical response; she questioned the cost and effectiveness of heavy police response to a resident with ongoing repeated mental health breakdowns in her neighborhood; discussed work to unburden CCPD; misallocation of resources; exacerbation of the situation due to COVID-19; and she questioned if now was not the time to shift funding models to better serve health needs, when it would be the time.

Kevin Lachoff discussed the CPSM report vs. the Solidarity Consultants report; appropriate staffing levels; concern with eliminating Traffic Enforcement; concern for crime victims; an incident on January 24; increased regional car thefts due to reduced enforcement and reduced consequences; theft of catalytic converters; concern with defunding CCPD in the name of racial equity rather than finding other ways to solve issues; and he hoped that the City would find a better way to address issues than favoring lawbreakers over victims.

Amy Ellzey urged the City Council not to take any money away from CCPD: discussed safety concerns; the excellent report received from CPSM; she reported witnessing multiple interactions between CCPD and homeless individuals; and she asked that Traffic Enforcement not be taken away from CCPD.

Bonnie Wacker discussed issues with the validity of the questionnaire used in the Solidarity Consultants report; she felt the results were questionable; discussed a recent incident and apprehension of the criminal through a traffic stop; expressed concern with increased crime in the City; and she did not want to see CCPD defunded.

Mariena Quintanilla asked that the City Council move swiftly to reimagine Public Safety; she did not want incremental reform or additional money for training; noted the refusal of CCPOA to understand and acknowledge institutional racism; discussed ingrained ideas; support for the recommendations in the Solidarity Consulting report; and she encouraged the City Council to take action to redirect resources, take advantage of Measure J, and to try something new.

Scott Kecken was called to speak but did not respond.

Shelly Rosekelly observed that quiet isn't always peace, and the norms of justice are not always justice; she spoke in support of the recommendations made by Solidarity Consulting; discussed fiscal imperatives; the CCPD budget; CPSM findings about police

response; crime rate realities; time spent on Traffic Enforcement and proactive policing; the disproportionate rate of misdemeanors for minor infractions handed down to People of Color (POC); financial costs of over-policing; personal and financial costs to individuals; and the goal to reduce over policing of minor infractions to allow CCPD more resources to devote to other crimes.

Joshua Edra was called to speak but did not respond.

Dennis Page was called to speak but did not respond.

Gayle Haberman thanked the City for exploring how racial justice could be embedded into the Public Safety system; expressed support for Saul Sarabia's report; discussed taking more of a public health approach embraced by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors and CCAN; UCLA research indicating that most arrests in Culver City are for misdemeanors; devoting resources to help people turn their lives around; data proving that people can be successfully diverted; accountability; she expressed support for establishing a Civilian Oversight Commission; she encouraged alignment with the LA County ATI Initiative; and she encouraged development of a bold plan to phase in different strategies.

Jeff Schwartz asked that the City Council either defer voting on the Rules of Force to another night or reject it; he found the revisions inadequate and an example of why the police should not be allowed to regulate themselves; discussed rules written for liability protection rather than to enhance Public Safety; he urged adoption of the recommendations from Solidarity Consulting; discussed requiring departments to adopt changes to the 2021-2022 work plan; committing to giving CCPD no new responsibilities or resources; establishing a new Public Safety Committee to manage the process; CCPD supplemental pay; increasing transparency and accountability; the Peace Officer's Bill of Rights; the danger posed by the police to those experiencing mental health crises; a recent incident where a person experiencing a mental health crisis died; and the need to provide appropriate response to save lives and provide better service.

Madison Hobbs was called to speak but did not respond.

Garry Schyman was called to speak but did not respond.

Joseph Dougherty was called to speak but did not respond.

Bill Gallagher was called to speak but did not respond.

Ron Bassilian was called to speak but did not respond.

Bitania Beniam, POC for Change, echoed CCAN's support of the action item and the Solidarity Consulting recommendations; she wanted to see a Civilian Oversight Committee; discussed reallocating funds to other sectors; the lack of acknowledgement of unhoused individuals in the report; reallocation of certain responsibilities to more appropriate community workers; the state report released by the Racial and Identity Profiling Advisory Board; the tendency of security guards and School Resource Officers to criminalize Black, Indigenous, People of Color (BIPOC) youth; disproportionate arrests of Black and Brown youth for minor offenses; separating traffic citations from CCPD; the experience of white people vs. BIPOC with CCPD; unhoused residents; de-escalating situations; and she felt the changes were the beginning of creating a safe community.

Michelle Weiner thanked CCAN and expressed support for recommendations made in the Solidarity Consultants report; discussed the need to build capacity for new Public Safety programs outside of CCPD; finding a new way to conduct traffic stops; the experience of Berkeley, California in making changes; providing a non-police crisis response model; mobile crisis dispatched through the Culver City Fire Department (CCFD); and she expressed opposition to the expansion of the mental evaluation team within CCPD.

Vincent Hartung was called to speak but did not respond.

Nancy Barba, Planning Commissioner, indicated that she was speaking on behalf of herself; expressed support for recommendations by CCAN; she urged the City Council to direct staff to find ways of adopting recommendations from the Solidarity Consulting report; discussed reimagining public safety; assuring accountability; the labor force cost analysis; removing the police from the mental health and drug related crisis; crisis dispatch through CCFD; misdemeanor arrests; diverting people from the prison pipeline by developing ATI; partnerships with LA county; Measure J; removing police from Traffic Enforcement; creation of a Civilian Oversight Committee; reductions to the police force; and budget and work plans.

Mark Lipman discussed costs for Emergency Services; prioritizing Housing first to alleviate other issues; the budget; the

militarization of CCPD; average CCPD salaries; accountability; and he wanted to see everyone treated with equal respect and fairness.

Robert Zirgulis, President of the Culver City Kennedy Democrats and member of the ACLU, asserted that the report on defunding the police was biased; felt that CCPD were being blamed for incidents that happened outside of the City; expressed opposition to the recommendations by Solidarity Consulting; discussed CCAN; bias; race baiting; and he felt CCPD needed a raise due to their handling of riots.

Sam Juster was called to speak but did not respond.

Menelik Tafari was called to speak but did not respond.

Amanda Mayeda was called to speak but did not respond.

Carlene Brown discussed her rededication to working with Black Lives Matter at the George Floyd Memorial; she read quotes from the book, "Me and White Supremacy", from Amanda Gorman's poem, and a quote from Bernie Sanders; and she asserted that it was time to reimagine public safety.

Angela Chung was called to speak but did not respond.

Madison Hobbs expressed support for implementing recommendations from the Solidarity Consulting report and Los Angeles county's ATI; she provided examples of racial profiling and over-policing in her neighborhood; discussed recent response to a mental health incident in the neighborhood; and she asked that Public Safety be reimaged in Culver City.

Mayor Fisch discussed the order of the agenda and expressed regret that so many speakers had left the meeting before having a chance to address the City Council.

Discussion ensued between Council Members regarding providing Public Safety for everyone; discounting the personal experiences of others; disproportionate responses witnessed in Culver City; the high-quality police force; the nature of police departments to be racist all over the country; living in a white supremacist society; the economic system that enabled the United States to prosper in the early days; the feeling that the lived experience of people should not be debated; whether to enforce misdemeanors; discretion of police officers; disproportionate response to POC for infractions vs. the response for white

people; the fact of racism; whether the Solidarity Consultants recommendations go far enough; defunding the police; loss of positions through attrition; the value of employees vs. the health and safety of all residents; ensuring that the value of all residents is reflected in policy; the percentage of calls involving theft or violence; burdens placed on CCPD to fulfill duties that they are not trained for; policy recommendations to transfer duties to others; CAHOOTS; approval of \$100,000 in the previous budget to be used with SSG for Mobile Crisis Intervention and construction of a pilot program; the mischaracterization in the staff report; potential lawsuits associated with reductions to the police force; liability insurance; damaging lawsuits faced by cities; the untoward focus on ATI; mythologizing a group of people as offenders; the prevalence of white supremacy in institutions across the country; the non-controversial practice of deploying a mental health officer rather than a police officer; the complexity of traffic stops; further conversations to be had; concern that staff has not complied with requests for information regarding costs to deploy a Mobile Crisis Intervention team vs. the current MET teams that go out with CCPD; disparities regarding the number of calls for mental health assistance reported vs. what is reflected in the report; concern with information that has been requested, but not furnished; police use of force; general information regarding police interactions; unnecessary police interactions; escalated response; violence and police use of force; focusing on minimizing police interactions when a violent crime or theft is not involved; prioritizing de-escalation; successful de-escalation by mental health officers, with CCPD called if necessary; the need to implement the recommendations made by Solidarity Consulting and continue the conversation; and disappointment in the June presentation and in the current staff report as they are not what was asked for, nor what was agreed upon.

Additional discussion ensued between Council Members regarding the goal to become a model community for human rights; ensuring that the right action is taken; maintaining flexibility; focusing on the goal of making everyone feel safe; punishing CCPD for issues across the country vs. educating employees about unjust behavior; the need to put differences aside; appreciation for the diverse police force and support for CCPD leadership; concern with removing police from Traffic Enforcement; legal authority; the potential for decreased safety, increased crime, and loss of grant monies; support for quantifying and addressing areas of concern in CCPD; concerns with removing police response from crisis intervention and emergency medical response calls;

support for the co-responder model; instituting a pilot program; the value of police officer presence in ensuring safety; ideas proposed without a clear idea of implementation; whether Solidarity Consultants had spoken with Dr. Dan and CCFD; regional issues facing Culver City; ensuring that what is going on across the country does not impact what is happening in Culver City; and creation of a subcommittee to consider issues before any substantive changes have been made.

Further discussion ensued between Council Members regarding the importance of equity; defunding the police in response to community concerns; providing safety and well-being for everyone; the existence of white supremacy; concern with a threat contained in public comment; normalcy created on January 6 at the Capitol; the importance of white people taking issues seriously in order to effect change; lived experience; respect for the police department; the importance of taking a clear look at the situation; support for the recommendation made by Solidarity Consulting; the harmful characterization that calling for accountability is punishment to CCPD; community members currently being harmed and the duty to respond accordingly; calls for unity without accountability; support for diversion, Mobile Crisis Intervention, instituting a hiring freeze, a Civilian Oversight Body, creating a Public Safety Subcommittee, racial equity progress oversight, performance reviews, and establishing metrics; a suggestion to examine data for the MET program and the crisis intervention model to make a decision; the Cares First model used in LA county; alternatives to people with guns approaching the homeless and people in crisis; and the importance of acting to address long-standing concerns voiced by residents.

Additional discussion ensued between Council Members regarding divisions within the City; appreciation to the Police Chief for implementation of proposals in the CPSM report to improve efficiency; inadequacy of concrete actions in the Solidarity Consulting report; the need for continued work; suggestions in the CPSM report that require input from the City Council; consideration by the subcommittee; the need to build trust; coming together as a qualified majority in the City; the fact that very few police agencies have done anything; the experience of the city of Berkeley to make changes regarding traffic stops; legal issues; state law; the failure of the county to manage mental health calls; the MET Team model; the report from Human Rights Forum; support for augmenting the MET Team; starting a dialog with the county; coordination with Holly Mitchell; creation of an ad hoc subcommittee to work on the crisis

intervention issue; considering issues in smaller pieces; and the importance of bringing the City together.

Further discussion ensued between Council Members regarding divisions in the City; differences in median household wealth; white supremacy that pervades the country; disparities in outcomes; problems that are larger than Culver City; working with the county, the state and other agencies to lobby for changes; acknowledgement of systemic racism while beginning to address harm reduction with the goal of eliminating structures and systems that are maintaining a disparity that has persisted since the importation of slaves to America; rejection of those who believe there is something inherent in Black people; leniency extended to white people who violate laws; creation of a different program; ways to improve business; establishing a safe space for everyone; the detrimental effect of entering the workforce with a criminal record; the need to provide evidence that making changes will increase crime; traffic stops; communication with the city of Berkeley; confiscation of firearms; taking people's property to sell to raise money for the government; taking guns off the street; halting the proliferation of firearms; people driving through Culver City who live in unsafe communities; discretion in police interactions; adapting the police force to reduce interactions; creating something to be proud of; cases on appeal in federal courts; Constitutional flaws in convictions as a result of discretion; differences between the CPSM report vs. the Solidarity Consultants report; measures of contemporary policing; the work done within a flawed system; those who will not accept obstacles in history and structuralist laws; the importance of investment in fixing the situation that results in the death of George Floyd; taking care with staffing reductions; looking at hiring on a case-by-case basis; current staffing levels; attrition rates; support for a Civilian Oversight Committee to assist the Public Safety subcommittee; upholding the Oath of Office; unconscious bias; the spirit of the law vs. the letter of the law; ensuring that everyone feels safe; providing clear direction moving forward; placing a focus on crisis response for mental health; analyzing obstacles; state lobbying; appreciation for the presentation by the Police Management Group; the George Gascon letter; understanding how the City's actions interact with the county; and Prop 47.

Discussion ensued between staff and Council Members regarding acknowledgement of funds allocated for SSG; the need for guidance regarding implementation; recognizing struggles; support for helping CCPD improve; moving forward in an equitable

manner for everyone; the Berkeley model of using non-sworn personnel; moving Traffic Enforcement away from the police department; potential ramifications of removing the MET Team; whether law enforcement should be the face of mental health outreach; the role of police in mental health response; the co-response model; challenges of addressing mental health calls; and the need to neutralize safety issues for everyone involved.

Discussion ensued between Saul Sarabia, staff and Council Members regarding the need for consequences to create change; concern with the elimination of misdemeanor arrests; the inquiry into areas with equity issues; the current focus on arresting those aged 18-25; the failure of the existing system to prevent those crimes from being committed again; recidivism rates; addressing a large part of the racial disparity problem by treating divertible misdemeanors differently; the restorative justice process to produce a different accountability process and a better outcome; preventing offenders from being sent to county jail where they can be exposed to more crime; the Transitional Age Youth (TAY) population; saving the TAY population from the experience of being handcuffed and taken to a station for booking; changing how people relate to each other; addressing violations of community standards; finding ways to create corrective behavior; appropriate consequences for taking something that is of value to someone else and does not belong to you; thinking creatively; the ATI Report; decriminalizational approaches; the point of view of vengefulness and punishment; whether to treat different age groups differently; ticketable offenses; and potential liability issues for CCPD not enforcing someone driving with a suspended license.

Further discussion ensued between staff and Council Members regarding next steps; alternative crisis intervention; assessment of ongoing police training; skills for de-escalation; the level of formal education in CCPD; assessment of learning; support for identifying ways to move forward; support for engaging a Project Manager and consideration of Mobile Crisis Intervention; moving forward with a pilot that does not include officers vs. expanding the current program; trust building and showing what is possible; support for starting with crisis intervention and moving forward one thing at a time; support for establishing an ad hoc subcommittee focused on crisis intervention; making what is needed clear so that resources can be allocated; City Council consensus was achieved for a Civilian Oversight Body and Public Safety Subcommittee, and for crisis intervention, as well as opportunities to work with the county and potential diversion opportunities for misdemeanors;

community feedback; the need for timelines and transparency to build trust into the process; the importance of letting people know that movement is being made in the process; use of a Project Manager skilled in change management; willingness of Chief Cid to accept change; digging deeper into other suggestions; alternatives that can be implemented to achieve community objectives; the need for clear direction from three Council Members; determining whether to authorize the hiring of a Project Manager; direction to staff to distill the issues and return to the City Council; a suggestion to use Ben Climer of CAHOOTS as a resource; concern with getting into detail at the late hour; comments regarding issues agreed upon by three or more Council Members that were not advanced by the City; previous agreement to move forward with SSG for a mobile crisis pilot in June, 2020; guidance on actual implementation; and concern with the previous process.

Council Member Eriksson moved to create an ad hoc committee to work with staff to identify an appropriate Project Manager.

Additional discussion ensued between staff and Council Members regarding involvement of an ad hoc subcommittee in the recruitment process and in making a recommendation to the full Council for a Project Manager; a suggestion that the Mayor serve on the subcommittee; the importance of including a POC on the subcommittee; institutional hurdles; ensuring a balance of viewpoints; opportunities for collaboration; the separate subcommittee; meeting community need; majority views; a suggestion that the Public Safety Subcommittee focus on hiring a Project Manager for crisis intervention and return to the City Council with a report to be referred to the Police Liaison Subcommittee; subcommittees with a shared member; concern with overlapping duties; a suggestion to create a subcommittee with the sole purpose of hiring a Project Manager for crisis intervention; internal delegation of duties to people already involved in the process; substantive work already done; accountability; and determining what percentage of calls can be referred to a program like CAHOOTS to handle and what infrastructure is necessary.

MOVED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCMORRIN, SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR LEE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL CREATE AN AD HOC PUBLIC SAFETY SUBCOMMITTEE AND APPOINT MAYOR FISCH AND COUNCIL MEMBER MCMORRIN TO SERVE WITH THE PURPOSE OF ASCERTAINING WHO A PROJECT MANAGER MIGHT BE FOR THE ALTERNATIVE MOBILE CRISIS INTERVENTION PILOT.

o0o

Public Comment - Items Not on the Agenda

Mayor Fisch invited public comment.

Jeremy Green, City Clerk, indicated that no additional public comment had been received.

o0o

Items from Council Members

None.

o0o

Council Member Requests to Agendize Future Items

Vice Mayor Lee asked to agendize a resolution of support for single payer health care in the state of California; he discussed CalPERS pension liabilities; and he proposed that the City-based apology for the history of the City as a "Sundown Town" be considered as a Consent Calendar item rather than having to go through the EHRAC.

Discussion ensued between staff and Council Members regarding concern with staff workload; support for moving forward with the apology proclamation; consideration of the apology as a Consent Calendar item; drafting of the resolution; and the resolution submitted by John Kent.

Council Member Vera indicated that he would return with additional information regarding the possibility of having Doyin Richards make a presentation to the EHRAC.

o0o

Adjournment

There being no further business, at 2:20 a.m., January 26, 2021 the City Council adjourned the meeting to February 8, 2021.

o0o

Jeremy Green
CITY CLERK of Culver City, California
EX-OFFICIO CLERK of the City Council and SECRETARY of the
Successor Agency to the Culver City Redevelopment Agency
Board, and Culver City Housing Authority Board
Culver City, California

ALEX FISCH
MAYOR of Culver City, California and CHAIR of the Successor
Agency to the Culver City Redevelopment Agency Board, and
Culver City Housing Authority Board

Date: _____