RESOLUTION NO. 2020-R____

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CULVER CITY, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AGAINST THE INITIATIVE MEASURE TO BE CONSIDERED CITY'S ELECTORATE ΑT BY GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION OF NOVEMBER 3,2020, REQUIRING VOTER APPROVAL OF ANY ORDINANCE **ESTABLISHING** CONTROL OR RENT PROHIBITION ON RESIDENTIAL RENT INCREASES.

WHEREAS, a General Municipal Election (Election) is to be held in the City of Culver City on November 3, 2020, at which time there will be submitted to the voters the following question pertaining to an Initiative Measure (Measure):

Measure	
Voter Approval of all Interim or Permanent Rent	
Control Measures.	Yes
Shall the measure adding Culver City Municipal Code	
Section 15.09.100 requiring voter approval of any	No
ordinance establishing interim or permanent rent control	
or prohibition on residential rent increases in the City of	
Culver City, including any ordinance establishing rent	
control adopted by the Culver City City Council after	
January 1, 2019, be adopted?	

WHEREAS, on June 22, 2020, the City Council appointed two Councilmembers to an Ad Hoc Subcommittee to draft the primary argument against the Measure, and directed the Subcommittee to complete its work in time to present the

28

Attachment 1

MEASURE	puts Culver City	y families at risk.	Vote NO on Measure	

- Measure __ risks putting Culver City residents on the street.

Measure ___ would retroactively repeal renter protections in Culver City. The measure would likely subject Culver City residents to a wave of evictions and price hikes during an unprecedented public health and economic crisis. Culver City's rent control ordinance and eviction moratorium have helped keep thousands of families, including seniors, children, and long-term community members, securely housed. This is the worst possible time to repeal these protections.

- Measure __ is confusing.

Measure __ states that voters should decide on rent control, but it only takes away existing renter protections. Any solutions for renters would have to wait for some unknown future ballot measure. The <u>only way</u> to protect current tenants is to vote <u>NO on Measure</u>.

- Measure __ makes local law inflexible to changing circumstances.

Today, the City Council can tailor landlord-tenant regulation to account for local conditions, respond to changed economic circumstances, and fine tune local law guided by expert advice and broad community input. Under Measure ___, if a future rent control measure needs adjustment, it could only be amended by another ballot measure, potentially years later.

- A NO vote preserves the people's right to present a ballot initiative in the future.

The People of Culver City will always have the right to vote on an initiative for different or better landlord-tenant regulations, but now is not the time to repeal renter protections. We must not thrust Culver City families into potential dislocation and homelessness during a pandemic.

VOTE NO ON MEASURE .