

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project

TW

Tyler Williams [REDACTED]

Tue 10/31, 12:27 AM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

You replied on 10/31/2017 10:24 AM.

Hello,

I would like to request a 60 day extension of the EIR because:

1. The LADPH will be releasing a health study any day now and it will contain facts that will affect this decision and we need time to review the study
2. CEQA allows for this extension
3. We want to make as informed as a decision as possible

Thank you!

Tyler Williams
Media and Communications Director
Frack-Free L.A. County
[REDACTED]

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project

AA

Angela Arnold [REDACTED]

Tue 10/31, 6:54 AM

jim.clare@culvercity.org; Sahli-Wells, Meghan; IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

You replied on 11/1/2017 11:02 AM.

Action Items

Please extend the comment period for 60 more days and provide more content oriented workshops, especially on the motivations and what the city will do to protect our health and safety, with more public notice.

I could not attend the session in Blair Hills last night because I was traveling for work. I'm deeply concerned about the safety of my family living so close to the fields and also worried that my property values are going to be negatively impacted by the fracking. Please help me understand why we are collectively taking this health and safety risk.

Sincerely,
Angela Arnold
[REDACTED]

This e-mail message, and any attachments, is intended only for the use of the individual or entity identified in the alias address of this message and may contain information that is confidential, privileged and subject to legal restrictions and penalties regarding its unauthorized disclosure and use. Any unauthorized review, copying, disclosure, use or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete this message, and any attachments, from your system. Thank you.

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project

PG

Prisca Gloor [REDACTED]

Tue 10/31, 7:01 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Inbox

You forwarded this message on 11/1/2017 11:08 AM

Action Items

Hello,

Please allow an extension for giving comments about the EIR.

Thank you,

Prisca Gloor

[REDACTED]
Los Angeles, CA 90066

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Inglewood Oil Field Project

RG

Rosanne Giza [REDACTED]

Reply all |

Thu 11/2, 12:54 PM

Sahli-Wells, Meghan; Clarke, Jim; IOFSpecificPlanProject

Hi,

I can't make it to the Nov 6th Council meeting due to prior commitments but I wanted to say that I support extending the comment period on the Environmental Impact Report and I also support community workshops to discuss the impact of adding oil wells and fracking.

Thanks for hearing me out,

Rosanne Giza

[REDACTED]
Culver City, CA 90230

Reply all | Delete Junk |

60 days extension on the Comment Period

KA

Kyel Anthony [REDACTED]

Fri 11/3, 12:40 AM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

I, Kyle Anthony, am requesting a 60 days extension on the Comment Period, and also more widely advertised community workshops to help everyone get involved and learn how to make better comments about oil & gas production within Culver City!

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days

PJ

Peter Jobst [REDACTED]

Fri 11/3, 10:25 AM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Please provide more informational workshops on how fracking and 30 more wells benefit our health, safety, and quality of life in Culver City,

Peter J Jobst

CEO
[REDACTED]



Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extent the comment period for another 60 days

FH Fleur Hervas [REDACTED]
Fri 11/3, 10:28 AM
IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Good morning,

Please extend the comment period regarding the production of gas and oil - involving fracking - in Culver City.

We would appreciate additional informational workshops on how fracking and the 30 more wells expected to be added would impact our health, safety, and quality of life.

Thank you,

Fleur Hervas
[REDACTED]

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days

CR

Christine Richmond [REDACTED]

Fri 11/3, 11:24 AM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Please provide more informational workshops on how fracking and 30 more wells benefit our health, safety, and quality of life in Culver City.

Thanks,
Christine

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days

PC

Pamela Collingwood

Fri 11/3, 11:31 AM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Please provide more informational workshops on how fracking and 30 more wells benefit our health, safety, and quality of life in Culver City.

Thanks,

Pamela Collingwood

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days

DU

David Urbina [REDACTED]

Fri 11/3, 3:05 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Please provide more informational workshops on how fracking and 30 more wells benefit our health, safety, and quality of life in Culver City.

--

DAVID URBINA

Founder @ Neon, a social layer on reality.

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days

Ray Shah 

Reply all |

Fri 11/3, 3:16 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Please provide more informational workshops on how fracking and 30 more wells benefit our health, safety, and quality of life in Culver City.

Thanks,
Ray Shah
Culver City, CA

[Reply all](#) | [Delete](#) [Junk](#) |

EIR and IOF specific plan

MN

Michael Novick [REDACTED]

Fri 11/3, 7:45 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

[Reply all](#) |

I live in Los Angeles just outside the boundaries of your project in Cameo Woods. There is a lot of new construction going on in that area, including a development on Lenawee (right behind Cameo Woods where I live) that includes assisted living housing. There is also a huge development going up on the corner of La Cienega and Jefferson, a couple of blocks away by the Metro Expo Line station. I do not think sufficient attention has been paid to impacts right outside Culver City, including also Kenneth Hahn Park across La Cienega. I climb the hill to the Baldwin Hills Scenic Overlook through the streets past Blair Hills Park in Culver City to exercise 3-4 days a week, and do not appreciate additional insults to air quality in the area. My wife was forced to leave the area because of incessant coughing while she was living here (she moved after retiring from Echo Horizon School nearby).

You definitely need to allow more time -- an additional 60 days -- as well as greater publicity about this project to Angelenos who have heard nothing about this. I only learned because I used to live in Culver City proper and was forwarded an announcement about your presentation at the Stoneview Nature Center. I get emails from the Baldwin Hills CSD and don't remember seeing anything about this. I intend to contact Herb Wesson, my LA City Council member and a family friend (his wife and mine used to work together at Echo Horizon) and urge him to get the City of LA to seek greater input on behalf of its residents.

Regarding the EIR, I think you need to include the greenhouse gas emissions that will result from burning the increased production, not just those from the construction and operation of the new wells. The best policy is to leave it in the ground. We have to move much more rapidly to non-carbon-based renewable energy resources, and every additional gallon pumped from the earth means more GHG in the atmosphere. Since it requires city approval to drill, the city should withhold its approval. The city should also prohibit all methods to stimulate increased production from existing wells.

Thanks for your attention.

Sincerely,

Michael Novick

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days

EB Evelina Baras [REDACTED]
Sat 11/4, 5:11 PM
IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Please provide more informational workshops on how fracking and 30 more wells benefit our health, safety, and quality of life in Culver City.
Thank you,

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please NO fracking here!

L

Linda [REDACTED]

Sat 11/4, 5:51 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Inbox

Action Items

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days. We need more time to evaluate this proposal.

Please provide more informational workshops on how fracking and 30 more wells benefit our health, safety, and quality of life in Culver City!

Linda McDermott, RN

[REDACTED]

Culver City 90232

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days

JL

Judy Levitow [REDACTED]

Yesterday, 12:01 AM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Please provide more informational workshops on fracking and how 30 more wells would benefit the health, safety and quality of life in Culver City.

I personally oppose any fracking in Culver City.

Thank you,

Judy Levitow

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Please extend the comment period for another 60 days

BM

Bruce Markoe [REDACTED]

Yesterday, 3:05 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Inbox

Please provide more informational workshops on how fracking and 30 more wells BENEFIT our health, safety, and quality of life in Culver City.

A 5th grader can tell you that this is a very bad idea. Make that a 1st grader. Let's see what the experts say. And lets hope the city exercises everything in it's power to stop this before it goes any further. I for one predict an earthquake from fracking in this area due to it's proximity to the inglewood fault.

thanks

Bruce Markoe

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project

TS

Terry Silberman [REDACTED]

Reply all |

Yesterday, 10:27 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject; Terry Silberman [REDACTED]

City Councilmembers:

I attended the recent Community meeting held on Monday October 30th on the draft EIR and and the proposed Culver City Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project.

Personally, I am concerned about the potential health and environmental risks of drilling so close to our city.

Many community members are just learning of this proposal since the community meetings were not held until late October. As you know, the documents and appendices are lengthy and highly technical. Additional time is needed for community members to review, synthesize, and comment. Therefore I join others in requesting a 60 day extension of the comment period.

Thank you for considering this request.

Terry Silberman

Terry Silberman, DrPH
[REDACTED]

Culver City, CA 90232
[REDACTED]

Reply all | Delete Junk |

IOF EIR

DO

Doug Osborne [REDACTED]

Today, 11:10 AM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Inbox

Action Items

Please extend the Public Comment period for the Inglewood Oil Field project by six months.

The public needs more time to study and give input now that the Environmental Impact Report is available.

This is a very important and complex issue, since it will have great impact not only on Culver City but the entire basin.

--

Doug Osborne

Reply all | Delete Junk |

60 Day extension

SH

Sara Hartley [REDACTED]

Reply all |

Today, 11:35 AM

IOFSpecificPlanProject; Cooper, Jeffrey; thomas.small@culvercity.com

Inbox

Please grant a 60 day Extension. This is a typical tactic of throwing so much information and data at laymen , that the real issues are hidden or difficult to pin point . Culver City needs feedback from professionals.

Thank you, Sara Hartley

Sent from my iPhone

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project

AH

Aura Walker Hypnosis [REDACTED]

Today, 12:05 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Dear Ms. Baker,

I am a resident of Culver City.

Since 2012, I have been asking the Culver City Council for stronger anti-fracking related regulations, per the Inglewood Oil Fields.

I am writing once more to ask for a 60-day extension for public comment, so that more residents may have a chance to look over the Draft EIR. As well as to be able to submit comments on the draft, thereafter.

I personally feel we need a much stronger set back rule of not 400 feet, but 4000 feet at the minimum. Any allowance, to allow new drilling of 30 wells will directly compromise Culver City residents' health. As well as Baldwin Hills and Windsor Hills residents health too. Not to mention an exacerbating risk of triggering an 8.4 earthquake.

I attended the El Rincon meeting on the EIR. I feel that all the choices thus far are not strong enough, and still do compromise Culver City residents.

As I mentioned, a stronger set back rule would provide an umbrella of protection to Culver City residents.

This is just one suggestion.

Sincerely,

Aura Walker MA, CHt

<http://www.ecoaction411.com/>
[REDACTED]

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project

EO

Emi Onishi [REDACTED]

Today, 12:11 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Hello City Officials,

Thanks for all that you do! I am writing today to add my voice to the many who are asking for a 60 day extension on the comment period for the Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project. I believe this is a significant issue for our city, and a longer comment period would help us spread the word to others so that they may comment.

Thank you,

Emi Onishi-Ruzzin
Resident, Garfield Avenue

Reply all | Delete Junk |

Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project

DL

Doris Levy [REDACTED]

Today, 1:35 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

I am petitioning the Council to grant the public a 60 day extension of the 2000 page EIR. The citizens of Culver City cannot give full, or even cursory, attention to so lengthy a document by Nov. 16th. 2017. The EIR will have a very serious impact on our lives and the lives of generations to follow. We must have time to fully process this document!
As parent, grandparent, and former teacher, I urge you to allow a 60 day extension.

Sincerely
Doris Levy

Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project

BC

Beate Chelette [REDACTED]

Today, 3:19 PM

IOFSpecificPlanProject

Reply all |

Action Items

Hello,

Thank you again for an informative presentation on the proposed Inglewood Specific Plan Project.

I am Beate Chelette, live on 4245 Irving Place in Culver City.

My first request is to please extend the review period by 60 days. It's just so much information to review and a language to learn and understand the full implementations. In addition there is not enough time to discuss with the Culver City neighbors what each of our concerns are.

Under section 5.0 Project Alternatives it states that potential significant effects of the alternatives are discussed in less detail than the significant effects of the Project as proposed.

After listening to the presentation there are two major concerns and objections I have:

5.4 Summary Of The Projects Significant and Unavoidable Impacts

Section 4.5

I object to the project because "the potential for well stimulation treatment to result in induced seismicity cannot definitively be reduced to a level less than significant.

Argument:

We cannot obtain reasonable earth quake insurance in California and it is a high risk for homeowners that we have to bear. The last thing we want to add is an even greater risk and exposure to the homes in Culver City that would cause a risk that can't even be evaluated and in case of increased seismic activities undue burden and expense. The city would also be exposed as there is a possibility of a class action lawsuit that to proof that any earthquake was caused by this project. What would make the operator assume responsibility and how would this affect the people of Culver City?

Section 5.6

Alternative 2 is a must. Deep wastewater disposal injection is known to cause seismic activity. This brings us back to point my above point. I believe seismic activity is the greatest threat to all homeowners in Culver City and the city itself.

Argument: Wastewater disposal is the primary cause of the recent increase in earthquakes in the central United States. <https://earthquake.usgs.gov/research/induced/myths.php>

Before 2008, Oklahoma experienced roughly one noticeable earthquake per year. By 2014, that number had soared to almost one a day, and the state is not alone. Scientists have documented an astronomical rise in seismic activity across the central and eastern United States, linking it to wastewater pumped into the ground from burgeoning oil and gas production. Now, new research suggests that high rates of fluid injection—rather than other factors such as volume or depth—may be the root of the problem. <http://www.sciencemag.org/news/2015/06/huge-study-links-wastewater-injection-wells-earthquakes>

Thank you,
Beate Chelette



From: Sierra Club, West Los Angeles Group
To: Culver City City Council
Subject: Request for two-month extension
Date: Friday, October 20, 2017

The Sierra Club requests that the Culver City City Council grant a two-month extension of the comment period for the Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan Project Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Inglewood Oil Field Specific Plan.

The reason for this request is that the DEIR is a voluminous document that covers highly technical issues of importance to the Culver City community that require additional time for review and preparation of comments.

Issues that require additional time for review include:

- Jurisdiction/Pre-Emption 250-1000ft depth
- Permanent and temporary/portable Surface Equipment
- Impervious/impermeable membrane or materials for containments and pads
- Shallow well design, casings, tubing, etc.
- Risks and threats requiring mitigation
- Mitigations including set back/enclosure
- Responsibilities/liabilities: Bonds for Owner/Leasee/Operator/Contractor
- Ownership/Leases-Surface/Subsurface

A two-month extension is required to give the public adequate time to provide meaningful comments.

On behalf of the West Los Angeles Group of the Sierra Club,

David Haake, MD

Baker, Heather

From: Dr Suzanne De Benedittis [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2017 3:53 PM
To: Cooper, Jeffrey; Small, Thomas; Clarke, Jim; Eriksson, Goran; Sahli-Wells, Meghan; Schwab, Carol; Baker, Heather; Nachbar, John; Janet Houlton
Subject: Draft EIR comment period extension

Dear Mayor, Council members, City Attorney and City Manager,

Thank you for publishing the draft EIR and specific plan. I have been "plowing" through it to comprehend how the City intends to address areas of significance.

Although I am a researcher, I am not versed in the fields of geology, seismology, health risk exposures, water and air quality, etc, nor am I a statistician. Thus it is taking time to strive to adequately

- understand and evaluate impacts addressed,
- reflect on proposed mitigation outcomes,
- assess to what degree they will preserve our health, safety, and quality of life in light of the data presented, and
- note if any area of significance may have unintentionally been overlooked.

As I have gotten to know and respect each of you, having been a Culver City citizen for the past 40 years I sense that you too are committed to our health, safety, and well-being.

The unusual circumstance of having a very well educated population (per the City's recent MJHMP, 50% of our population is college educated, with over 27% holding advanced degrees) calls for respecting CEQA's intent and extend the Public Comment period. This will give us time to better understand impacts and proposed mitigations in order to make meaningful comments and suggestions, and so help assure that the work of our Staff and consultants indeed protects us. Is this not CEQA's intent?

Here in our beloved Culver City, let us not abrogate democratic process in favor of expediency or fear of being bullied by private interests! Let us set the bar for true democratic engagement! Yes?

May your decisions demonstrate that democracy that still exists at the local level.
And let us stay ever more strongly united in protecting the Greater Good!

Respectfully,
Dr Suzanne DeBenedittis, PhD