

Tree Assessment evaluation for tree locate on the south west corner Washington Blvd and national Blvd.

City Of Culver City have a three large trees on the national Mini Park a ficus (microcarpa Nitida) and forth Palm trees (Washingtonia Robusta).

Ficus Tree Assessment: this particular tree is the combined of three trees all together form one large tree, approximate 45 feet tall and 65 feet spread, and 55 years old, this tree have an excellent good health condition, no pest no diseases and no record of failure, roots never been prune or cut.

This tree was planted as a dedicate tree on 1963, for many years this tree was treated with special care, (never use a chainsaw been prune by hand saw only), this to prevent injury or any disease get into, apply some fertilizer every year, and monitoring to check condition, also when Metro line was build next to the tree they made special work to minimize any damage during construction to this specimen tree.

All this recommendation was passed through old previous city Arborist, also it is a tale story mentioned this tree was the point of referent for the entrance to Culver City, we all know this is no the boundary line but people on the past they believe

Recommendation; This Multiple tree was planted on the right place, right time, and right species and excellent condition no reason to be removed,

Palms: this 4 palms have e deficiency structure there is no problem to be removed

Thanks.



A Photographic Guide to the Evaluation of Hazard Trees in Urban Areas

TREE HAZARD EVALUATION FORM 2nd Edition

Site/Address: National Mini park (National Blvd X Washington Blvd)
Map/Location: _____
Owner: public _____ private _____ unknown _____ other _____
Date: 10/25/18 Inspector: David talavera
Date of last inspection: 10/24/18

HAZARD RATING:

1	+	1	+	1	=	3
Failure Potential	+	Size of part	+	Target Rating	=	Hazard Rating
_____		_____		_____		_____
Immediate action needed						
☒ Needs further inspection						
_____ Dead tree						

TREE CHARACTERISTICS

Tree #: 3 Species: Ficus Microcarpa Nitida
DBH: 16"/34"/44" # of trunks: 3 Height: 45' Spread: 65'
Form: ☒ generally symmetric ☐ minor asymmetry ☐ major asymmetry ☐ stump sprout ☐ stag-headed
Crown class: ☒ dominant ☐ co-dominant ☐ intermediate ☐ suppressed
Live crown ratio: 99 % Age class: ☐ young ☐ semi-mature ☒ mature ☐ over-mature/senescent
Pruning history: ☒ crown cleaned ☐ excessively thinned ☐ topped ☐ crown raised ☐ pollarded ☐ crown reduced ☐ flush cuts ☐ cabled/braced
☐ none ☐ multiple pruning events Approx. dates: _____
Special Value: ☐ specimen ☐ heritage/historic ☐ wildlife ☐ unusual ☐ street tree ☐ screen ☐ shade ☐ indigenous ☒ protected by gov. agency

TREE HEALTH

Foliage color: ☒ normal ☐ chlorotic ☐ necrotic Epicormics? Y ☒ N
Foliage density: ☒ normal ☐ sparse Leaf size: ☒ normal ☐ small
Annual shoot growth: ☒ excellent ☐ average ☐ poor Twig Dieback? Y ☒ N
Woundwood development: ☒ excellent ☐ average ☐ poor ☐ none
Vigor class: ☒ excellent ☐ average ☐ fair ☐ poor
Major pests/diseases: None

SITE CONDITIONS

Site Character: ☐ residence ☐ commercial ☐ industrial ☒ park ☐ open space ☐ natural ☐ woodland/forest
Landscape type: ☐ parkway ☐ raised bed ☐ container ☐ mound ☐ lawn ☐ shrub border ☐ wind break
Irrigation: ☐ none ☒ adequate ☐ inadequate ☐ excessive ☐ trunk wetted
Recent site disturbance? Y ☒ N ☐ construction ☐ soil disturbance ☐ grade change ☐ line clearing ☐ site clearing
% dripline paved: 0% 10-25% 25-50% 50-75% 75-100% Pavement lifted? Y ☐ N
% dripline w/ fill soil: 0% 10-25% 25-50% 50-75% 75-100%
% dripline grade lowered: 0% 10-25% 25-50% 50-75% 75-100%
Soil problems: ☒ drainage ☐ shallow ☐ compacted ☐ droughty ☐ saline ☐ alkaline ☐ acidic ☐ small volume ☐ disease center ☐ history of fail
☐ clay ☐ expansive ☐ slope _____° aspect: _____
Obstructions: ☐ lights ☐ signage ☐ line-of-sight ☐ view ☐ overhead lines ☐ underground utilities ☐ traffic ☐ adjacent veg. ☐ none
Exposure to wind: ☒ single tree ☐ below canopy ☐ above canopy ☐ recently exposed ☐ windward, canopy edge ☐ area prone to windthrow
Prevailing wind direction: N/E Occurrence of snow/ice storms ☒ never ☐ seldom ☐ regularly

TARGET

Use Under Tree: ☐ building ☐ parking ☐ traffic ☒ pedestrian ☐ recreation ☒ landscape ☐ hardscape ☐ small features ☐ utility lines
Can target be moved? Y ☒ N Can use be restricted? Y ☒ N
Occupancy: ☒ occasional use ☐ intermittent use ☐ frequent use ☐ constant use

TREE DEFECTS

ROOT DEFECTS:

Suspect root rot: Y ☒ N Mushroom/conk/bracket present: Y ☒ ID: _____

Exposed roots: ☐ severe ☐ moderate ☐ low Undersided: ☐ severe ☐ moderate ☒ low

Root pruned: 0 distance from trunk Root area affected: 0 % Buttress wounded: Y ☒ N When: _____

Restricted root area: ☐ severe ☐ moderate ☒ low Potential for root failure: ☐ severe ☐ moderate ☒ low

LEAN: 0 deg. from vertical ☒ natural ☐ unnatural ☐ self-corrected Soil heaving: Y N

Decay in plane of lean: Y ☒ N Roots broken Y ☒ N Soil cracking: Y ☒ N

Compounding factors: none Lean severity: ☐ severe ☐ moderate ☒ low

CROWN DEFECTS: Indicate presence of individual defects and rate their severity (s = severe, m = moderate, l = low) n=negative

DEFECT	ROOT CROWN	TRUNK	SCAFFOLDS	BRANCHES
Poor taper				m
Bow, sweep				m
Codominants/forks		m	m	
Multiple attachments			m	
Included bark		n		
Excessive end weight				l
Cracks/splits			n	
Hangers				n
Girdling	n			
Wounds/seam			n	
Decay	n	n	n	
Cavity	n	n		
Conks/mushrooms/bracket	n	n		
Bleeding/sap flow	n		n	
Loose/cracked bark	n		n	
Nesting hole/bee hive	n			n
Deadwood/stubs	n		n	
Borers/termites/ants	n		n	
Cankers/galls/burls	n		n	
Previous failure		n	n	n

HAZARD RATING

Tree part most likely to fail: _____

Inspection period: ☒ annual _____ biannual _____ other _____

Failure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating

1 + 1 + 1 = 3

Failure potential: 1 - low; 2 - medium; 3 - high; 4 - severe

Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15-45 cm);

3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - >30" (75 cm)

Target rating: 1 - occasional use; 2 intermittent use;

3 - frequent use; 4 - constant use

HAZARD ABATEMENT

Prune: ☒ remove defective part ☐ reduce end weight ☐ crown clean ☐ thin ☐ raise canopy ☐ crown reduce ☐ restructure ☐ shape

Cable/Brace: _____ Inspect further: ☐ root crown ☐ decay ☐ aerial ☐ monitor

Remove tree: Y ☒ N Replace? Y ☒ N Move target: Y ☒ N Other: _____

Effect on adjacent trees: ☒ none ☐ evaluate

Notification: ☐ owner ☐ manager ☒ governing agency Date: _____ Recommendation: preserve trees

COMMENTS



tree#2

tree#3

tree#1

palm poor structure



dedicate plate

SITE OF THE
HAL ROACH STUDIOS
LAUGH FACTORY TO THE WORLD
1919 - 1963



good structure



good roots distribution

good balance canopy

RIGHT LANE
MUST
TURN RIGHT

NO
STOPPING
ANY TIME

HALLOW

Monday-Friday

GET YOUR TIC